

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,347	12/12/2005	Daisuke Yamada	282073US2PCT	6406
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			HYEON, HAE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2839	
SHORTENED STATUTORY I	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/02/2007	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/02/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/560,347	YAMADA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hae M. Hyeon	2839			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 L	December 2006				
2a)□		s action is non-final.				
3)	, <del></del>					
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	· 1.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 24</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
·	on Papers	•				
	•		•			
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine		tad ta bu tha Francisca			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2005 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)	The path or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infon	t(s)  te of References Cited (PTO-892)  te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  r No(s)/Mail Date 12/12/05 & 3/10/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/560,347 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, drawn to claims 1-12 in the reply filed on December 18, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner must examine the application if the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the present application contains more than one invention as stated in the Restrictions requirement made on November 17, 2006.

According to **35 U.S.C. 101**, Patents are not granted for all discoveries, but only for those, which are specifically provided for in 35 U.S.C. 101. This section requires that the invention be "new and useful" and that it fall within one of the five specified classes of invention, which are:

- 1. Process or method (which may be a process of making something or a process of using something)
- 2. Machine or apparatus,
- 3. Manufacture (article)
- 4. Composition of matter
- 5. An improvement of any of the above

While claims 1-12 recite for an anisotropic conductive connector and claims 13-23 recite a process of making. Thus, the present application contains three different and distinct inventions that requires in search of three different classes as stated in the Restrictions requirement made on November 17, 2006. However, claim 24 recites a circuit inspection device, which is recited in claim 10. Therefore, claim 24 is rejoined with the Group I, drawn to claims 1-12.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Page 3

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

• The first page of the present specification does not recites <u>Cross-References to Related</u>

Applications: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.

• Page 45, line 10, "conductive portion 10A" should be -- conductive film 10A --.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the

presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any

errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 3, line 8, it seems

that "the coupling portion" should be -- the short circuit portion -- because line 6 recites "the

short circuit portion," but claim 3 does not recite "the coupling portion" above line 8.

Appropriate correction is required.

**Double Patenting** 

5. Claims 5, 6 and 24 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of

claims 1, 2 and 10. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in

content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper

after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed

claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Art Unit: 2839

Claim 5, line 7 recites, "is **integrated** on" while claim 1, line 7 recites, "is **provided**integrally on" and claim 6, line 2 recites "a void" while claim 2, line 2 recites, "a through hole".

Claims 5 and 6 simply recite the same limitations as claims 1 and 2 in different wording.

While claim 10 recites an anisotropic conductive connector device used with an inspection circuit device apparatus, claim 24 recites an inspection circuit device apparatus used with an anisotropic conductive connector device.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 5-12 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kokubo et al (US 6,969,622 B1).

Kokubo discloses an anisotropic conductive connector device comprising an anisotropic conductive film 20 having a plurality of conducting path forming portion 22 extended in a direction of a thickness and disposed at a constant pitch; a supporting body 10 for supporting a peripheral edge portion of the anisotropic conductive film 20; a sheet-like connector 40 having an insulating sheet 41 with a plurality of electrode structures 42 extended in a direction of a thickness; and a circuit device 50 with a circuit board 30 for an inspection, wherein the sheet-like

Application/Control Number: 10/560,347 Page 5

Art Unit: 2839

connector 40 is provided integrally on the anisotropic conductive film 20 in a state in which each of the electrode structures 42 is positioned on each of the conducting path forming portions 22 of the anisotropic conductive film 20. The electrode structure 42 of the sheet-like connector 40 are disposed in a through hole formed on the anisotropic conductive film 20 and include a surface electrode portion 43, a back electrode portion 44 and a short circuit portion 45 connecting the surface electrode portion 43 and the back electrode portion 44.

8. Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Weiss (US 7,059,874 B2).

Weiss discloses an anisotropic conductive connector device comprising an anisotropic conductive film 16 having a plurality of conducting path forming portions (lined up small circles); and a sheet-like connector having an insulating sheet 20 with a plurality of electrode structures 22, 24, 50 disposed in a through hole penetrating through the insulating sheet 20. The electrode structure includes a surface electrode portion 24; a back electrode portion 22 and a short circuit portion 50 extending in a direction of thickness of the insulating sheet 20 and integrally coupling the surface electrode portion 24 and the back electrode portion 22.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kokubo et al in view of Naoi (US 6,168,442 B1).

Claim 4 recites a through hole formed on the insulating sheet and a protruded portion on the anisotropic conductive film for coupling the anisotropic conductive film with the sheet-like connector.

While Kokubo does not disclose a through hole and a protruded portion for coupling two objects together, Naoi discloses a die 14 having a protruded portion 14a coupling with a through hole 30a formed on a plate 30 for securely fixing the plate 30 with the die 14.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the anisotropic conductive connector device taught by Kokubo such that it would have a through hole and a protruded portion as taught by Naoi because the through hole and the protruded portion would provide secure fixing between two objects.

Furthermore, the use of the through hole and the protruded portion for fixing two objects are well known.

### **Conclusion**

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0009386 A1 by Weiss et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hae M. Hyeon whose telephone number is 571-272-2093. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (8:30-5:30).

Application/Control Number: 10/560,347

Art Unit: 2839

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tulsidas C. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hae M Hyeon Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839 Page 7

hmh hmh

Hae Moon Hyeon